

RONALD STOCKTON,
Appellant

v.

SECRETARY OF CORRECTIONS - LAUREL HARRY, FORMER SECRETARY - GEORGE LITTLE, DEPUTY SECRETARY - ROBERT MARSH, SUPERINTENDENT - T. MCGINLEY, SUPERINTENDENT - R. IRWIN, DEPUTY - I. GUSTAFSON, DEPUTY - M. BLICHA, MAJOR DEAL, CAPTAIN KUNDICK, LT. WALKER, SGT. LESKO, LT. TANNER, C.O. MOWRY, C.O. FABIANO, C.O. ANDERSON, C.O. SMITH, C.O. MOORE, C.O. HINES, C.O. MORRES, C.O. MOHNEY AND LIBRARIAN - MRS. J. WINTERS,
Appellees

No. 31 EAP 2026

It is further NOTED that, in its November 25, 2025 order, the Commonwealth Court stated that Appellant's failure to file a compliant petition for review by December 26, 2025,

would result in dismissal without further notice. Although Appellant did not file an amended petition for review by that deadline, no dismissal order has issued. It is further NOTED that Appellant filed a *praecipe* with the Commonwealth Court on January 23, 2026, indicating that he would not be filing an amended petition for review, and seeking entry of a final dismissal order. *Cf. Hionis v. Concord Twp.*, 973 A.3d 1030, 1035-36 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2009) (explaining that “a plaintiff who chooses not to file an amended complaint may appeal by filing a praecipe with the trial court to dismiss the original complaint with prejudice”). The Commonwealth Court has taken no action in response to Appellant’s *praecipe*.

It is also NOTED that Appellant’s taking of the instant appeal would not have precluded the Commonwealth Court from either entering a dismissal order or acting upon Appellant’s *praecipe*. See Pa.R.A.P. 1701(b)(6) (allowing a court to “[p]roceed further in any matter in which a non-appealable interlocutory order has been entered, notwithstanding the filing of a notice of appeal or a petition for review of the order”).